# PHY401 Electromagnetic Theory I

Scalar and Vector Potentials and Gauge Transformations, Coulomb and Lorenz Gauge

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Fulya Bagci Department of Physics Engineering/Ankara University fbagci@eng.ankara.edu.tr

## Contents

# Chapter 10. POTENTIALS AND FIELDS 10.1 The Potential Formulation 10.1.1 Scalar and Vector Potentials 10.1.2 Gauge Transformations 10.1.3 Coulomb Gauge and Lorenz Gauge

Static  

$$\vec{E} = -\nabla V$$
  
 $\vec{E} = -\nabla V - \vec{E} \vec{A}$   
 $\vec{B} = \nabla x \vec{A}$   
 $\vec{B} = \nabla x \vec{A}$   
 $\vec{B} = \nabla x \vec{A}$ 

## 10.1.1 Scalar and Vector Potentials

Given  $\rho(r,t)$  and J(r,t), what are the fields E(r,t) and B(r,t)? In the static case -> Coulomb's law and the Biot-Savart law In the dynamic case? -> ?

The fields have to be represented in terms of potentials.

*i*) 
$$\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{E} = \frac{\rho}{\varepsilon_0}$$
 *iii*)  $\nabla \times \boldsymbol{E} = 0$   
*ii*)  $\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{B} = \overset{\rho}{0}$  *iv*)  $\nabla \times \boldsymbol{B} = \mu_0 \boldsymbol{J}$ 

In electrostatics,  $\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{E} = 0 \rightarrow \vec{E} = -\nabla V \quad \vec{\nabla} \vec{B} = 0 \rightarrow \vec{B} = \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}$ 

In electrodynamics  $\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{E} \neq 0$   $\vec{\nabla} \vec{B} = 0 \rightarrow \vec{B} = \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}$ 

$$\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{E} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A} \right)$$
$$\vec{\nabla} \times \left( \vec{E} + \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t} \right) = 0$$
$$\vec{E} + \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t} = -\nabla V \longrightarrow \vec{E} = -\nabla V - \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t}$$

This function **A** is given the name "**vector potential**"

**Example 10.1**. Find the charge and current distributions that would give rise to the potentials

$$V = 0, \mathbf{A} = \begin{cases} \frac{\mu_0 k}{4c} (ct - |x|)^2 \hat{\mathbf{z}} & \text{for } |x| < ct \\ 0 & \text{for } |x| > ct \end{cases}$$

Solution: Solution:  $\vec{E} = -\frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t} = -\frac{\mu_0 k}{2} (ct - |x|) \hat{z}$   $\vec{\nabla} \vec{E} = 0 \rightarrow \rho = 0$   $\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{E} = \begin{vmatrix} \hat{x} & \hat{y} & \hat{z} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{\mu_0 k}{4c} (ct - |x|)^2 \end{vmatrix}$   $= \mp \frac{\mu_0 k}{2} \hat{y}$   $\vec{B} = (\pm \frac{\mu_0 k}{2c} (ct - |x|)) \hat{y}$   $\vec{\nabla} \vec{B} = 0$ Notice that **B** has a discontinuity at Notice that **B** has a discontinuity at x = 0.



$$\mu_{0} \left( \begin{array}{c} & 1 & 0 & 0 & \partial t^{2} \end{array} \right) \quad \mu_{0} \quad (\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{v} \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} = \frac{\partial A_{x}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial A_{y}}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial A_{z}}{\partial z} = 0 \\ \nabla^{2} \mathbf{A} = \left( \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}} + \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}} + \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z^{2}} \right) A_{z} \hat{\mathbf{z}} = \frac{\mu_{0} k}{4c} \hat{\mathbf{z}} \qquad \mathbf{J} = 0 \\ -\mu_{0} \varepsilon_{0} \frac{\partial^{2} \mathbf{A}}{\partial t^{2}} = -\mu_{0} \varepsilon_{0} \frac{\mu_{0} k}{4c} c^{2} \hat{\mathbf{z}} = \frac{\mu_{0} k}{4c} \hat{\mathbf{z}}$$

Since the volume charge density and current density are both zero, where are the electric and magnetic fields from?

Remember the boundary condition (iv) in Eq. 7.64

$$\frac{1}{\mu_1} \mathbf{B}_1^{\parallel} - \frac{1}{\mu_2} \mathbf{B}_2^{\parallel} = \mathbf{K}_f \times \mathbf{\hat{n}}$$

$$kt\hat{y} = \vec{K} \times \hat{x}$$
$$\vec{K} = kt\hat{z}$$

We have here a uniform surface current flowing in the z direction  
over the plane 
$$x = 0$$
. Notice that the news travels out (in both  
directions) at the speed of light: for points  $|x| > ct$  the message  
("current is now flowing") has not yet arrived, so the fields are zero.

$$\int x = 0$$

### **10.2 Gauge Transformations**

We are free to impose extra conditions on V and A, when nothing happens to **E and B.** Let's work out precisely what this **gauge freedom** entails.

$$A' = A + \alpha \qquad V = V' + \beta$$

Since two A's give the same **B**, their curls must be equal, and hence,

$$\nabla \times \alpha = 0 \rightarrow \alpha = \nabla \lambda$$

The two potentials also give the same **E**, so

$$\nabla \cdot \beta + \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} = 0 \quad \nabla \cdot \left(\beta + \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial t}\right) = 0 \quad \bullet \quad \beta = -\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial t} + k(t)$$

We might as well absorb k(t) into A.

$$A' = A + \nabla \lambda \qquad V' = V - \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial t}$$

Such changes in V and A are called gauge transformations. They can be exploited to adjust the divergence of A.

#### **10.1.3 Coulomb Gauge and Lorenz Gauge**

The Coulomb Gauge: In the Coulomb gauge, we pick 
$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{0}$$
  
 $\nabla^2 V + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}) = -\frac{1}{\epsilon_0} \rho$   $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{0}$   
 $\nabla^2 V = -\frac{1}{\epsilon_0} \rho$ 

This is Poisson's equation, and we already know how to solve it: setting V = 0 at infinity.

$$V(\mathbf{r},t) = \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \int \frac{\rho(\mathbf{r}',t)}{\mathbf{r}} d\tau' \text{ (setting } V=0 \text{ at infinity)}$$

$$\left( \nabla^{2} \mathbf{A} - \mu_{0} \epsilon_{0} \frac{\partial^{2} \mathbf{A}}{\partial t^{2}} \right) - \nabla \left( \nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} + \mu_{0} \epsilon_{0} \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} \right) = -\mu_{0} \mathbf{J} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{0} \qquad \nabla^{2} \mathbf{A} - \mu_{0} \epsilon_{0} \frac{\partial^{2} \mathbf{A}}{\partial t^{2}} = -\mu_{0} \mathbf{J} + \mu_{0} \epsilon_{0} \nabla \left( \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} \right)$$
**The Lorentz Gauge:** In the Lorenz gauge, we pick  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} = -\mu_{0} \epsilon_{0} \frac{\partial V}{\partial t}$   
With this,  $\nabla^{2} \mathbf{A} - \mu_{0} \epsilon_{0} \frac{\partial^{2} \mathbf{A}}{\partial t^{2}} = -\mu_{0} \mathbf{J}$   
Meanwhile, the differential equation for *V* becomes  $\nabla^{2} V - \mu_{0} \epsilon_{0} \frac{\partial^{2} V}{\partial t^{2}} = -\frac{1}{\epsilon_{0}} \rho$   
Lorenz gauge treats *V* and A with the same differential operator called the  
*d'Alembertian*. In the Lorenz gauge, *V* and A satisfy the **inhomogeneous wave**  
**equation**, with a "source" term on the right.  
 $\nabla^{2} - \mu_{0} \epsilon_{0} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}} \equiv \Box^{2}$   
Doc.Dr. Fulya Bağcı

This choice of Coulomb gauge is mostly appropriate for the study of radiation problems and allow us to write down the Poisson's equation for the scalar potential.

For a covariant treatment of the electrodynamics the Lorentz gauge choice is preferred. Then the uncoupled differential equations turn out to be inhomogenous wave equations. Using d'Alembertian operator (denoted by  $\Box$ ), the differential equations for the potentials become simpler.

When you get to dynamics, you'll see that potentials are much easier to work with than fields.