Topic 14

Discussion: Theory of Science and A Concrete Case

The closure of the faculties of social sciences came to the agenda with the proposal of the government in 2015 in Japan. The Minister of Education of Japan sent a letter to 86 national universities to open more opportunities to areas (departments) that meet the needs of the community, in order to focus on the areas that society needs. The content of this letter was to end social sciences and human disciplines and programs or to turn them into programs that can respond to social needs. The aim was to focus on technical and professional fields that are thought to accelerate the development of the country instead of social sciences. In other words, the resource devoted to social sciences would be radically reduced in favor of applied sciences. While 26 of the universities concerned decided to close or shrink their programs, 17 of them stopped admission to the social sciences and human disciplines. On the other hand, Tokyo and Kyoto Universities declared that they found this intervention "anti-intellectual" and announced that they would not comply with the government's proposal.

First of all, it should be underlined that this view is not very dark. Because there are about 800 universities in Japan. Public universities are elite universities, but they make up a small proportion of Japanese universities. 17 universities mean 2% of universities in Japan. Regardless of its scale and impact, this government proposal can be seen as an application (politics) of a general science policy (policy) approach that we are not so foreign to, and also supported by many people. This politics, as it is a reflection of the image (image) of science in the mind of the 'ordinary person', finds its response in that image, that is, in that mind: Science is techno-science. The relationship between science politics-politics-image can be seen immediately at the sociological level. For example, the first comment under the news of this incident in Japan that is reflected in the media is as follows:

That needs to happen here and everywhere else. Liberal arts and social pseudo-science have done irreparable harm to our society, these people major in subjects that are entirely about opinion, belief, values, etc., and then pretend that it's legitimate science and fact, and they've worked to institutionalize every aspect of our lives based on their ideological prejudices simply so they can feel like 'experts' when they're not. The world doesn't need people pretending to be experts on children, or on relationships, or feelings, we don't need social workers and psychologists, we need control over our lives and the freedom to raise our own children without some 'experts' intervening (https://www.timeshighereducation.com/news/social-sciences-and-humanities-faculties-close-japan-after-ministerial-intervention).

Topic 14

The transformation of the cultural slit into such an abyss in the thought of science is now the problem and essentially epistemological. In other words, how we make sense of science and how and what we perceive it directly determines our decisions about it. Each of the science theories we have studied throughout this course explains science and scientificity. However, it should not be forgotten that each theory claims that it is true (or closer to the truth) and others are false (or far from the truth). Thus, these theories are not just curriculum elements or only interpretations of philosophers. So the question is: Which theory is true?

In light of this question, we can evaluate this social science debate that took place in Japan:

Social sciences and humanities faculties 'to close' in Japan after ministerial intervention

Universities to scale back liberal arts and social science courses

Many social sciences and humanities faculties in Japan are to close after universities were ordered to "serve areas that better meet society's needs", it has been reported.

Of the 60 national universities that offer courses in these disciplines, 26 have confirmed that they will either close or scale back their relevant faculties at the behest of Japan's government, according to a survey of university presidents by the Yomiuri Shimbun.

It follows a letter from education minister Hakubun Shimomura sent to all of Japan's 86 national universities, which called on them to take "active steps to abolish [social science and humanities] organisations or to convert them to serve areas that better meet society's needs".

The ministerial intervention has been denounced by one university president as "antiintellectual", while the universities of Tokyo and Kyoto, regarded as the country's most prestigious, have said that they will not comply with the request.

However, 17 national universities will restrict the recruitment of students to humanities and social science courses – including law and economics, according to the survey, which was reported by the blog Social Science Space.

Topic 14

It reports that the Science Council of Japan put out a statement late last month that expressed its "profound concern over the potentially grave impact that such an administrative directive implies for the future of the HSS [humanities and social sciences] in Japan".

The call to close the liberal arts and social science faculties are believed to be part of wider efforts by prime minister Shinzo Abe to promote what he has called "more practical vocational education that better anticipates the needs of society".

However, it is likely to be connected with ongoing financial pressures on Japanese universities, linked to a low birth rate and falling numbers of students, which have led to many institutions running at less than 50 per cent of capacity.

Source:

https://www.timeshighereducation.com/news/social-sciences-and-humanities-faculties-close-japan-after-ministerial-intervention

Japan and the social sciences: behind the headlines

According to reports, "many" social sciences and humanities faculties are set to close at the behest of Japan's government following a letter from the minister of education. It has variously been referred to as an "order", a "decree", a "directive" and a "request".

The reality is that not one of the universities in question is currently contemplating such closures.

The article linked to above refers only to social science and humanities faculties, but the main target of reform is the 10 national teacher training universities, for reasons to do with demographic change, academic standards and perceived societal needs. Social science and humanities faculties are also decreasing their student intake, and some will see lower levels of staffing.

The coverage also misrepresents the relationship between the government and universities. Japanese ministers of education do not have unchecked power to shut down entire faculties by decree from one academic year to the next. As in most other countries, the reality of education and research policy is more plural, fragmented and dialogical.

Topic 14

When taking the size and structure of the university sector in Japan into account, the situation looks far less ominous. There are nearly 800 universities in the country, and the national universities referred to in the reports make up a small elite proportion of this. Japanese universities fall into a small number of publicly owned and funded organisations and a much larger private sector, which accounts for 77 per cent of all universities and some 80 per cent of the undergraduate student body.

Public universities are divided into two categories of roughly equal size: 86 national and 92 municipal, city and prefectural institutions. The remaining 605 universities are privately owned and operated, but receive substantial state funding.

The circular from the minister, Hakuban Shimomura, to which 17 universities supposedly responded that they will "close liberal arts and social science courses", was sent only to the national universities. These 17 institutions represent 2 per cent of the universities in Japan.

With regard to the University of Tokyo and Kyoto University's announcements "that they will not comply with the request", this shows commendable leadership and solidarity on their part, but it can also be seen as a somewhat empty gesture.

They and the other prestigious "national seven" universities are not subject to the same mediumterm target reviews as the 12 national universities founded or restructured further to the 2004 National University Corporation Law. The latter are obliged to submit plans to the ministry every six years, and failure to obtain approval can in principle lead to loss of accreditation.

The ministry is thus more powerful in relation to the national universities incorporated or reincorporated after 2004, which are more closely monitored than the majority of older institutions. Mr Shimomura's letter to the national universities was sent as a step towards negotiation of the third mid-term plan, which will come into effect next year for the "new" national universities. Tokyo and Kyoto and the other 75 pre-2004 organisations are less exposed to government intervention, although the ministry does hold significant budgetary power.

Nevertheless, the overall impact of the 2004 reforms has been to strengthen university autonomy, since they are no longer part of a hierarchical chain of command with the ministry at the top.

Topic 14

Coverage has claimed that of the 60 national universities that offer courses in these disciplines, 26 have confirmed that they will either close or scale back their relevant faculties. The source for this claim is the blog Social Science Space hosted by the publisher SAGE, which in turn cites the newspaper Yomiuri Shimbun.

What the newspaper wrote was that 26 universities "plan to restructure their humanities departments" and that 17 of them "will stop recruiting in excess of 1300 new students" to the departments undergoing restructuring. They will not stop recruiting altogether, but will impose stricter limits on admissions to certain departments.

The Obunsha Educational Information Center's projected student recruitment figures for next year confirm that most national universities plan to recruit fewer students in the fields in question and more in science and engineering. The Obunsha report also lists details of organisational restructuring. On the whole, mergers and closures of departments hit education hardest, followed by humanities and social sciences. On the other hand, there are exceptions, such as Fukui University's opening of a new Faculty of International and Area Studies, and Chiba University's new Faculty of International Culture.

It has been widely reported that the minister suggested the abolition of departments or degrees, but the universities and news media in Japan mention only reorganisation and reduced staff and student numbers. Some departments will be merged or otherwise restructured, and a small number of universities will restrict their intake of undergraduates in certain disciplines. This process has been ongoing for some time as part of the wider National University Reform Plan, so any direct causality between current organisational restructuring and the recent letter is questionable.

As Times Higher Education reported, the Science Council of Japan and many other organisations and individuals, including sections of the business community, have voiced strong objections. Mr Shimomura may wish it were not so, but it is beyond the minister's powers to unilaterally "decree" the closure of university faculties. The decision ultimately lies with the university in question, which is why Japanese journalists have been asking them what their plans were, rather than simply reporting which academic units the minister has closed.

Many universities were already restructuring and what the eventual outcome will be depends on a process of dialogue and consultation between the ministry, the universities and other

Topic 14

interested parties. Some disciplines and departments may be embattled, but the situation is not

as bleak as represented by the coverage, and the regulatory framework ensures that it could not

be so.

While I believe that the threat of wholesale faculty closure does not exist, that is not to say that

there are no threats to the social sciences and humanities in Japan, some of which emanate from

the Abe Cabinet.

Like many politicians in the UK and elsewhere, Shinzo Abe, Mr Shimomura and other Liberal

Democratic Party parliamentarians and ministers have a utilitarian and – in the opinion of their

many vocal critics – ill-informed and short-sighted view of higher education and research. They

wish to steer students away from social sciences, humanities, education and art to the STEM

disciplines and other areas perceived as delivering tangible benefits and where Japan is believed

to have comparative advantages.

However, research funding allocations by the Japan Society for Promotion of Science over the

past decade do not show any radical shift in distribution between the different fields of

knowledge. This may change in future, but the social sciences and humanities have received a

growing share of overall research funding since 2004.

The incumbent Abe Cabinet is both remarkably powerful and politically ambitious by post-war

Japanese standards. In the university sector, the ambition is to internationalise education and

research to the same level as Germany and to increase the number of universities in the global

top 100 to 10 by 2023.

Although funding initiatives such as Global 30 and its successor Top Global Universities may

tend to favour STEM subjects, they do not radically underprivilege the social sciences and

humanities. But no matter how powerful the current government is, its stated objectives for

Japan's universities are unrealistic. The general funding environment and policy priorities do

not pose as grave a threat to social scientific and humanities education and research as suggested

by THE, but other aspects of the second Abe premiership may.

Source:

https://www.timeshighereducation.com/blog/japan-and-social-sciences-behind-headlines

6