Multiplex PCR

Week 8







DEVELOPMENT OF MULTIPLEX-PCR TECHNIQUES FOR DETECTION OF ABORTIVE BACTERIAL INFECTIONS OF SHEEP

- Multiplex PCR is a widespread molecular biology technique for amplification of multiple targets in a single PCR experiment.
- ➢In a multiplexing assay, more than one target sequence can be amplified by using multiple primer pairs in a reaction mixture.
- ➤As an extension to the practical use of PCR, this technique has the potential to produce considerable savings in time and effort within the laboratory without compromising on the utility of the experiment.

TYPES OF MULTIPLEX PCR

• Multiplexing reactions can be broadly divided in two categories:

1. Single Template PCR Reaction

This technique uses a single template which can be a genomic DNA along with several pairs of forward and reverse primers to amplify specific regions within a template.

• 2. Multiple Template PCR Reaction

It uses multiple templates and several primer sets in the same reaction tube. Presence of multiple primers may lead to cross hybridization with each other and the possibility of mis-priming with other templates.



Primer Design Parameters for Multiplex PCR

• Design of specific primer sets is essential for a successful multiplex reaction. The important primer design considerations described below are a key to specific amplification with high yield.

• 1. Primer Length

Multiplex PCR assays involve designing of large number of primers, hence it is required that the designed primer should be of appropriate length. Usually, primers of short length, in the range of 18-22 bases are used.

• 2. Melting Temperature

Primers with similar Tm, preferably between 55° C-60° C are used. For sequences with high GC content, primers with a higher Tm (preferably 75° C-80° C) are recommended. A Tm variation of between 3° -5° C is acceptable for primers used in a pool.

Primer Design Parameters for Multiplex PCR

• 3. Specificity

It is important to consider the specificity of designed primers to the target sequences, while preparing a multiplex assay, especially since competition exists when multiple target sequences are in a single reaction vessel.

• 4. Avoid Primer Dimer Formation

The designed primers should be checked for formation of primer dimers, with all the primers present in the reaction mixture. Dimerization leads to unspecific amplification.

• All other parameters are similar to standard PCR primer design guidelines.

Advantages of Multiplex PCR

• 1. Internal Controls

Potential problems in a simple PCR include false negatives due to reaction failure or false positives due to contamination. False negatives are often revealed in multiplex assays because each amplicon provides an internal control for the other amplified fragments.

• 2. Efficiency

The expense of reagents and preparation time is less in multiplex PCR than in systems where several tubes of uniplex PCRs are used. A multiplex reaction is ideal for conserving costly polymerase and templates in short supply.

• 3. Indication of Template Quality

The quality of the template may be determined more effectively in multiplex than in a simple PCR reaction.

• 4. Indication of Template Quantity

The exponential amplification and internal standards of multiplex PCR can be used to assess the amount of a particular template in a sample. To quantitate templates accurately by multiplex PCR, the amount of reference template, the number of reaction cycles, and the minimum inhibition of the theoretical doubling of product for each cycle must be accounted.

Applications of Multiplex PCR

- Pathogen Identification
- High Throughput SNP Genotyping
- Mutation Analysis
- Gene Deletion Analysis
- Template Quantitation
- Linkage Analysis
- RNA Detection
- Forensic Studies

INTRODUCTION

One of the most important problems of sheep breeding in Turkey is bacterial abortion

Amongst the bacterial infectious diseases causing ovine abortions in Turkey are **brucellosis**, **campylobacteriosis**, **chlamydiosis**, **listeriosis** and **salmonellosis**

More than **9 genus** of bacteria are known to be regularly encountered in ovine abortion cases

Most of these are **zoonotic agents** threatening human health

Bacterial agents causing abortions in sheep

Brucella abortus Brucella melitensis *Campylobacter fetus* subsp. *fetus* Campylobacter jejuni Chlamydophila abortus Coxiella burnetii Salmonella Abortusovis *Listeria monocytogenes* Listeria ivanovii Actinobacillus seminis *Histophilus (ovis) somni Leptospira* spp.

- Rapid and reliable detection of abortifacient bacteria is the first step in the prevention of economical losses due to abortions
- Most of the abortifacient bacteria are fastidious agents requiring specific growth conditions
- In vitro culture of some of them is almost inpractible
- Since they belong to diverse philogenetic groups each require special and specific culture
- Isolation and identification of abortifacient agents by conventional methods is a time taking, labor-intensive, sometimes an expensive process often requiring a equiped lab and good lab practice
- An alternative to conventional culture may be the serological diagnosis, however it has some drawbacks like serological examination could only be applied to the aborted animal (mother), and only after an appropriate period, results are affected by many factors, high ratio of false results, etc.

- Considering the disadvantages of bacteriological and serological identification methods, molecular techniques seem to be the promising alternatives
- They provide rapid results, are sensitive, economic, and laborsaving
- Many PCR techniques were developed for the identification of infectious diseases in both human and veterinary medicine
- However, when the literature are searched intensively, very few studies were encountered in molecular identification of sheep infectious abortions.
- Since different bacteria cause ovine abortions, diverse PCR applications should be performed for the molecular detection of each of these. Problems with conventional identification methods like time, labor and cost can be solved by multiplex-PCR applications.

OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY

Development of multiplex-PCR techniques which provides rapid, reliable, and simultaneous detection of bacterial agents causing sheep abortions and zoonotic infections in Turkey

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Control strains and DNAs

 Research institutes, universities, research groups, culture collections, researchers, colleagues

Primer Design

- determination of target sequences by searching sequence databases (Entrez PubMed, Nucleotide, GeneBank) and DNA sequence analysis of isolates
- web based services and primer design softwares
- in silico and in vitro analysis, alignments, BLAST searchs, etc.)
- Testing primers for possible interactions

DNA Extraction

- Phenol chloroform extraction
- Extraction with a commercial kit

Development and Optimization of PCR and multiplex-PCR (mPCR) assays

Validation of mPCR assays

- Testing efficacy of primers with different DNA concentrations
- Testing specificity and sensitivity of mPCR assays with control strains/DNAs and bacterial dilutions in PBS (phosphate buffered saline), fetal liver, cotiledon tissues, and abomasum contents

| Brucella spp. / Campylo | bbacter spp. / C. abortus Multiplex PCR-I | | | |
|---------------------------|--|---------|--------|-------------|
| Name of Primer | Primer sequence | Length | Ta* | Target Size |
| Bru1F | AGGGCAAGGTGGAAGATTTG | 20 | 54° C | 337 bp |
| Bru1R | ATCGGAACGAGCGAAATACC | | 337 bp | |
| Campgen2F | AGCGCAACCCACGTATTTAG 20 | | 54° C | 235 bp |
| Campgen2R | ATTCCGGCTTCATGCTCTC | 54 0 | | |
| Cabort5F | CCCATCACATTATCAGCAGGA 21 | | | 40.4 h |
| Cabort5R | CCTAGATCCATGACAACGGTAGA | 23 | 54 C | 104 bp |
| Brucella spp. / Campylo | obacter spp. / C. abortus Multiplex PCR-II | | | |
| Bru1F | AGGGCAAGGTGGAAGATTTG | 20 | E 4° O | 337 bp |
| Bru1R | ATCGGAACGAGCGAAATACC | 20 | 54 C | |
| Campgen3F | TGCCCTACACAAGAGGACAAC | 21 | 5.4° O | 454 hrs |
| Campgen3R | AAGCGTCATAGCCTTGGT | 20 | 54 C | 154 бр |
| Cabort1F | TCCCAATGTAGGCATCACTC 20 | | 5.4° O | 213 bp |
| Cabort1F | CCCTTGTATCCTCTAGGCTTGT | 22 54°C | | |
| Brucella spp. / Campylo | obacter spp. Multiplex PCR | - | | |
| Bru2F | Bru2F CATGACACCCAAACTTAGCC | | 5 4° 0 | 4051 |
| Bru2R | TTACTGCTCTACCTTCTGTGGATT | 24 | 54° C | 135 bp |
| CampgenF | CampgenF AGCGCAACCCACGTATTTAG 20 | | 5 4° 0 | 0001 |
| CampgenR | genR GAACAATCCGAACTGGGACA | | 54 C | 206 bp |
| Coxiella burnetii / Lepto | ospira spp. / Listeria spp. Multiplex PCR | · | • | |
| Lepto1F | pto1F GCGATTATGCCTGACCAAAT | | 5 4° 0 | 0.401 |
| Lepto1R | TCCTTTCACTTCACCTGGTTT | 21 54°C | | 249 bp |
| CoxburF | oxburF GACGGCCAATTATCAGAACA oxburR CGCTTTATTACCAATGACGAAC | | 548 0 | 4001 |
| CoxburR | | | 54°C | 180 bp |
| Listgen2F | tgen2F TGACACAAGTAACCGAGAATCA | | | |
| Listgen2R | R CGTGCGCCCTTTCTAACT | | 54° C | 132 bp |

Table. Multiplex-PCR Mix

| PCR Content | Concentration | Final Conc. |
|-------------------|----------------|-------------|
| 10 x PCR Buffer | 1 x PCR Buffer | 2.5 µl |
| MgCl ₂ | 25 mM | 3 µl |
| dNTP mix | 10 mM | 1 µl |
| Forward primer 1 | 100 µM | 0.1 µl |
| Reverse primer 1 | 100 µM | 0.1 µl |
| Forward primer 2 | 100 µM | 0.1 µl |
| Reverse primer 2 | 100 µM | 0.1 µl |
| Forward primer 3 | 100 µM | 0.1 µl |
| Reverse primer 3 | 100 µM | 0.1 µl |
| Taq polimeraz | 5 U / µl | 0.4 µl |
| DEPC-water | - | 15.5 µl |
| Extracted DNA | >10 ng | 2 µl |

Table. Optimized Multiplex-PCR Amplification Protocol.

| PCR Step | Cycling Conditions | Cycles | |
|----------------------|--------------------|-----------|--|
| Initial Denaturation | 94°C 4 min. | 1 cycle | |
| Denaturation | 94°C 30 s. | | |
| Primer annealing | 54°C 30 s. | 30 cycles | |
| Extension | 65°C 1 min. | | |
| Final extension | 65°C 3 min. | 1 cycle | |

RESULTS

- A total of 59 pairs of primers were designed in the study, 43 pairs were choosen and tested in vitro and 39 (90.7%) of these were successful in PCR assays
- Following investigation of bacterial abortifacient agents by singleplex-PCR assays in **112 fetal abomasum contents 32 (28.6%)**, **24 (21.4%)**, **4 (3.6%)**, and **4 (3.6%)** samples were *Brucella* spp., *Campylobacter* spp., *Listeria* spp., *C. burnetii* positive, respectively. (Poster # 773)
- Following evaluation of primers (*in silico* and *in vitro* analysis) **10 pairs of** primers were selected for development of multiplex-PCR (mPCR) techniques
- 4 different mPCR techniques were developed (2 mPCR for the detection of Brucella spp., Campylobacter spp.and C. abortus, one duplex-PCR for the detection of Brucella spp. and Campylobacter spp., and 1 mPCR for the detection of Listeria spp., Leptospira spp. and C. burnetii)

Figure 13. Testing efficacy of primers in *Brucella* spp., *Campylobacter* spp., *C. abortus* Multiplex PCR-I with different DNA concentrations



- 1. Multiplex PCR with template DNAs of 10 ng/µl (direct DNA, D) concentrations of bacterial DNA
- 2. Brucella spp. DNAD, Campylobacter spp. DNA Dx10⁻¹ (1 ng/µl), C. abortus DNA Dx10⁻² (100 pg/µl)
- 3. Brucella spp. DNAD, Campylobacter spp. DNA Dx10⁻², C. abortus DNA Dx10⁻¹
- 4. Brucella spp. DNADx10⁻¹, Campylobacter spp. DNAD, C. abortus DNADx10⁻²
- 5. Brucella spp. DNADx10⁻², Campylobacter spp. DNAD, C. abortus DNADx10⁻¹
- 6. Brucella spp. DNADx10⁻¹, Campylobacter spp. DNADx10⁻², C. abortus DNAD
- 7. Brucella spp. DNADx10⁻², Campylobacter spp. DNADx10⁻¹, C. abortus DNAD
- 8. Negative control, PCR mix only
- 9-12. Brucella spp. + Campylobacter spp. DNA
- 13-14. Only C. abortus DNA
- 15. Negative control, *Leptospira* spp. DNA

Figure 13. Testing efficacy of primers in *Brucella* spp., *Campylobacter* spp., *C. abortus* Multiplex PCR-II with different DNA concentrations



- Multiplex PCR with template DNAs of 10 ng/µl (Direct DNA, D) concentrations of bacterial DNA
- Brucella spp. DNAD, Campylobacter spp. DNA Dx10⁻¹(1 ng/µl), C. abortus DNA Dx10⁻² (100 pg/µl)
- Brucella spp. DNAD, Campylobacter spp. DNA Dx10⁻², C. abortus DNA Dx10⁻¹
- Brucella spp. DNADx10⁻¹, Campylobacter spp. DNAD, C. abortus DNADx10⁻²
- Brucella spp. DNADx10⁻², Campylobacter spp. DNAD, C. abortus DNADx10⁻¹
- Brucella spp. DNADx10⁻¹, Campylobacter spp. DNADx10⁻², C. abortus DNAD
- Brucella spp. DNADx10⁻², Campylobacter spp. DNADx10⁻¹, C. abortus DNAD
- Negatif kontrol, PCR mix
- B. melitensis DNA+ C. jejuni DNA+ C. abortus S26/3 DNA
- B. melitensis DNA+ C. abortus S26/3 DNA
- C. abortus S26/3 DNA + C. jejuni DNA
- B. abortus DNA + C. abortus S26/3 DNA
- B. abortus DNA + C. fetus subsp. fetus DNA + C. abortus DNA
- B. ovis DNA + C. fetus subsp. fetus DNA + C. abortus DNA
- B. ovis DNA

Figure 14. Testing efficacy of primers in *Leptospira* spp., *Listeria* spp., *C. burnetii* Multiplex PCR with different DNA concentrations



- 1. Negative control, PCR mix without DNA
- 2. Multiplex PCR with template DNAs of 30 ng/µl (Direct DNA, D) concentrations of bacterial DNA
- 3. C. burnetii DNA D, Leptospira spp. DNA Dx10-1 (3 ng/µl), Listeria spp. DNA Dx10-2 (300 pg/µl)
- 4. C. burnetii spp. DNA D, Leptospira spp. DNA Dx10-2, Listeria spp. DNA Dx10-1
- 5. C. burnetii DNA Dx10-1, Leptospira spp. DNA D, Listeria spp. DNA Dx10-2
- 6. C. burnetii DNA Dx10-2, Leptospira spp. DNA D, Listeria spp. DNA Dx10-1
- 7. C. burnetii DNA Dx10-1, Leptospira spp. DNA Dx10-2, Listeria spp. DNA D
- 8. C. burnetii DNA Dx10-2, Leptospira spp. DNA Dx10-1, Listeria spp. DNA D
- 9. Negatif kontrol, *Brucella* spp. DNA

Figure 16. Results of *Brucella* spp. and *Campylobacter* spp. duplex-PCR assay with extracted DNA from the fetal abomasum contents obtained from Eastern Anatolia in Turkey.



M. 100 bp DNA Ladder, (Fermentas, Lithuania). 1, 5-8, 11, 12. *Campylobacter* spp. 2, 4, 10, 13-15. *Brucella* spp. **Table.** Sensitivity of Singleplex-PCR Technique Due To Number of Bacteria Extracted (cfu / 25 μl)

| PCR | | | | | |
|------------------|--------|--------|---------------------|-----------|--|
| | PBS | Liver | Abomasum Content | Cotiledon | |
| B. melitensis | 500 | 50000 | 5000 | 5000 | |
| C. jejuni | 200 | 2000 | 200 | 200 | |
| <i>L.</i> Hardjo | 1000 | 100000 | 1000 | 1000 | |
| L. ivanovii | 200 | 2000 | 200 | 200 | |
| S. Typhimurium | 100000 | 100000 | 100000 | 100000 | |

Table. Sensitivity of Multiplex-PCR Technique Due To Number of Bacteria Extracted (cfu / 25 μl)

| Multiplex-PCR | | | | | |
|------------------|--------|--------|---------------------|-----------|--|
| | PBS | Liver | Abomasum Content | Cotiledon | |
| B. melitensis | 5000 | 500000 | 50000 | 50000 | |
| C. jejuni | 2000 | 200000 | 20000 | 20000 | |
| <i>L.</i> Hardjo | 1000 | 10000 | 10000 | 10000 | |
| L. ivanovii | 200 | 2000 | 200 | 200 | |
| S. Typhimurium | 100000 | 100000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | |

Table. Sensitivity of Singleplex-PCR Technique Due To Number of Bacteria Per PCR reaction (cfu / 2 μ l)

| PCR | | | | |
|----------------|------|-------|------------------|-----------|
| | PBS | Liver | Abomasum Content | Cotiledon |
| B. melitensis | 5 | 500 | 50 | 50 |
| C. jejuni | 2 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| L. Hardjo | 10 | 1000 | 10 | 10 |
| L. ivanovii | 2 | 20 | 2 | 2 |
| S. Typhimurium | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 |

Figure. Sensitivity of Multiplex-PCR Technique Due To Number of Bacteria Per PCR reaction (cfu / 2 μ l)

| Multiplex-PCR | | | | |
|----------------|------|-------|------------------|-----------|
| | PBS | Liver | Abomasum Content | Cotiledon |
| B. melitensis | 50 | 5000 | 500 | 500 |
| C. jejuni | 20 | 2000 | 200 | 200 |
| L. Hardjo | 10 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| L. ivanovii | 2 | 20 | 2 | 2 |
| S. Typhimurium | 1000 | 1000 | 10000 | 10000 |

Figure. Sensitivity of Singleplex *Brucella* PCR assay with bacterial dilution in PBS and tissue samples, respectively



1. $500000 \text{ cfu}/25 \mu \text{l} 2.50000 \text{ cfu}/25 \mu \text{l} 3.5000 \text{ cfu}/25 \mu \text{l} 4.500 \text{ cfu}/25 \mu \text{l} 5.50 \text{ cfu}/25 \mu \text{l} 6.5 \text{ cfu}/25 \mu \text{l} 6.5$

2. 7. 1 cfu/25 µl 8. negative control

Şekil. Sensitivity of Multiplex PCR assay with bacterial (*Brucella* spp.) dilution in PBS and tissue samples, respectively



- 1. 500000 cfu/25 μl 2. 50000 cfu/25 μl 3. 5000 cfu/25 μl 4. 500 cfu/25 μl 5. 50 cfu/25 μl 6. 5 cfu/25 μl
- 2. 7. 1 cfu/25 µl 8. negatif kontrol

Figure. Sensitivity of Singleplex *Campylobacter* PCR assay with bacterial dilution in PBS and tissue samples, respectively



1. 2x107 cfu/25 μl 2. 2000000 cfu/25 μl 3. 200000 cfu/25 μl 4. 20000 cfu/25 μl 5. 2000 cfu/25 μl 6. 200 cfu/25 μl

2. 7. 20 cfu/25 µl 8. negatif kontrol

Şekil. Sensitivity of Multiplex PCR assay with bacterial (*Campylobacter* spp.) dilution in PBS and tissue samples, respectively



1. $2x107 \text{ cfu}/25 \mu l 2.200000 \text{ cfu}/25 \mu l 3.200000 \text{ cfu}/25 \mu l 4.20000 \text{ cfu}/25 \mu l 5.2000 \text{ cfu}/25 \mu l 6.200 \text{ cfu}/25 \mu l 7.20 \text{ cfu}/25 \mu l 8. negatif kontrol$

Figure. Sensitivity of Singleplex *Leptospira* PCR assay with bacterial dilution in PBS and tissue samples, respectively



1. 1000000 cfu/25 μl 2. 100000 cfu/25 μl 3. 10000 cfu/25 μl 4. 1000 cfu/25 μl 5. 100 cfu/25 μl
6. 10 cfu/25 μl 7. 1 cfu/25 μl 8. negative kontrol

Şekil. Sensitivity of Multiplex PCR assay with bacterial (*Leptospira* spp.) dilution in PBS and tissue samples, respectively



100000 counts/25 μl 2. 100000 counts/25 μl 3. 10000 counts/25 μl 4. 1000 counts/25 μl
100 counts/25 μl 6. 10 counts/25 μl 7. 1 counts/25 μl 8. negative control

Figure. Sensitivity of Singleplex *Listeria* PCR assay with bacterial dilution in PBS and tissue samples, respectively



1. 200000 cfu/25 μ l 2. 20000 cfu/25 μ l 3. 2000 cfu/25 μ l 4. 200 cfu/25 μ l 5. 20 cfu/25 μ l 6. 2 cfu/25 μ l 7. 0.2-1 cfu/25 μ l 8. negatif kontrol

Şekil. Sensitivity of Multiplex PCR assay with bacterial (*Listeria* spp.) dilution in PBS and tissue samples, respectively



1. 200000 cfu/25 μl 2. 20000 cfu/25 μl 3. 2000 cfu/25 μl 4. 200 cfu/25 μl 5. 20 cfu/25 μl 6. 2 cfu/25 μl 7. 0.2-1 cfu/25 μl 8. negatif kontrol

Figure. Sensitivity of Singleplex-PCR Technique Due To Number of Bacteria Extracted (cfu / 25 µl)



Figure. Sensitivity of Multiplex-PCR Technique Due To Number of Bacteria Extracted (cfu / 25 µl)



Figure. Sensitivity of Singleplex-PCR Technique Due To Number of Bacteria Per PCR reaction (cfu / 2μ l)



Figure. Sensitivity of Multiplex-PCR Technique Due To Number of Bacteria Per PCR reaction (cfu / 2μ l)



DISCUSSION

- PCR specificity depends on how good you design the primers
- PCR sensitivity depends on how many agents and inhibitors (and their ratio!!!) are there in your clinical sample
- You can increase your test sensitivity by optimizing your DNA extraction
- You can increase the primer sensitivity and test sensitivity by choosing multicopy targets (insertion sequences, 16S rRNA genes, etc.)
- Fetal abomasum contents are the best clinical materials for PCR and/or multiplex-PCR assays, and cotiledons have less inhibitors than fetal liver samples
- Plasenta is the best clinical sample in the identification of Chlamydial abortions

CONCLUSION

- In this study, novel detection techniques were developed which lack the drawbacks of conventional identification methods, and having the advantage of simultaneously investigating several agents
- PCR techniques developed in this project could be used for the molecular microbiological investigation of abortions in different animal groups in Veterinary Medicine and for the identification of zoonotic infections, as well
- The techniques are thought to be original according to literature search