## COMPUTER AIDED DRUG DESIGN (CADD) AND DEVELOPMENT METHODS



## DRUG DEVELOPMENT

$>$ Drug development is a challenging path
$>$ Today, the causes of many diseases (rheumatoid arthritis, cancer, mental diseases, etc.) are not fully explained and it is even more difficult to develop medicines for these diseases.
$>$ Only 1 out of 10,000 molecules synthesized can be used as a drug.
$>$ It is quite costly.
$>$ It takes a long time.

## RATIONAL DRUG DESIGN

- For all these reasons, it is now necessary to design drugs in a rational way.
- Understanding of several physiological and biochemical mechanisms and their relation to diseases at the molecular level, clarification of some receptors and structures have contributed to the development of computer-aided drug design methods.


## COMPUTER-AIDED DRUG DESIGN

- Quantitative structure activity relationship (QSAR)

- Molecular modeling studies


## QUANTITATIVE STRUCTURE ACTIVITY RELATIONSHIPS (QSAR)

- A QSAR is a mathematical relationship between a biological activity of a molecular system and its geometric and chemical characteristics.



## QSAR

- The first study to identify the relationships between chemical structure and biological activity has been done in France in 1863. (A. Cros)
- According to this study,
"As the solubility of water in some of the investigated alcohols decreases, the toxic effects on the mammals are increased".


## QSAR's goal

- Designing a new compound that can exert better effect using the structure-effect relationship analysis equation developed over a series of compounds,
- Reducing the toxicity of an existing compound,
- Optimize to be the leader with the optimum lipophilic property to pass a selected barrier (e.g. blood-brain barrier)



## Biological Responses Used in QSAR Studies

- Affinity data: substrate or receptor binding
- Rate constants: association, dissociation
- Inhibition constants: IC50, enzyme inhibition values
- Pharmacokinetic parameters: absorption, distribution, metabolism, excretion
- In vitro and in vivo biological activity data
- Pharmacodynamic data of drugs (drug-receptor interaction)
- Toxic effect parameters


## Paramełers

- Parameters used in QSAR studies are constants that are used to quantitatively describe intramolecular forces, activities such as transportation, distribution that provide drug receptor interaction.


## Physicochemical Parameters Used in Strucłure-effect Studies

| PHYSICOCHEMICAL PARAMETERS | SYMBOL |
| :--- | :--- |
| LIPOPHILIC (HYDROPHOBIC) |  |
| PARAMETERS |  |
| Partition Coefficient | Log P, $(\log P)^{2}$ |
| $\pi$-Substituent Constant | $\pi,(\pi)^{2}$ |
| Chromatography Distribution Coefficient (Liquid-liquid) | $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{M}}$ |
| Hydrophobic Fragmental Constant | f |
| ELECTRONIC PARAMETERS |  |
| Ionization Constant | $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| Sigma Aromatic Substituent Constant | $\sigma_{\mathrm{m}}, \sigma_{\mathrm{m}}$ |
| Modification $\sigma$ Aromatic Substituent Constants | $\sigma^{+}, \sigma^{-}, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{\mathrm{R}}, \sigma^{\circ}$ |
| Sigma Aliphatic Substituent Constant | $\sigma^{*}$ |
| Substituent Resonance Effect | $R$ |
| Substituent Inductive Effect | $F$ |

## Physicochemical Parameters Used in Strucłure-effect Słudies

| PHYSICOCHIEMICAL PARAMETERS | SYMBOL |
| :--- | :--- |
| QUANTUM MECHANICAL PARAMETERS |  |
| Atomic $\sigma$ Elektron Charge | $\mathrm{q}^{\sigma}, \mathrm{Q}^{\sigma}$ |
| Atomic $\pi$ Elektron Charge | $\mathrm{q}^{\pi}, \mathrm{Q}^{\pi}$ |
| Nucleophilic Delocalization State | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{N}$ |
| Electrophilic Delocalization State | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{r}}{ }^{\mathrm{E}}$ |
| Energy of Lowest Unoccupied Molecular Orbital, "electrophilicity" | $\mathrm{E}_{\text {LUMO }}$ |
| Energy of Highest Occupied Molecular Orbital, "nucleophilicity" | $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{HoMO}}$ |
| STERIC PARAMETERS |  |
| Steric Substituent Constant |  |
| Molar Volume | $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{S}}$ |
| Molar Refractivity Substituent Constant | MV |
| Molecular Weight | MR |
| Van der Waals Radii | MW |
| Sterimol Width and Length Parameters | R |

## Structural Paramełers (Indicałor)

- The structural parameter is used if any position in the molecular structures of the chemical compounds does not include a sufficient number of substituent substitutions.
- Structural parameters are determined to be "1" or "0", respectively, depending on the presence or absence of the molecular substituent being analyzed.


## Lipophilic Property

- The most used physicochemical property in QSAR studies are lipophilic property.
- Lipophilicity can be defined as the dispersion between water and oil phase.
- Parameters showing this distribution;
- Log P
- $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{M}}$


## Log P = Partition Coefficient

- It is a parameter that expresses the concentration of the chemical compound distributed between the lipid-water layers. For this purpose, it was found that the most suitable solvent system is 1-octanol / water.
- As the water, the buffer solution is prepared to imitate the physiological pH ( $\mathrm{pH}=7.4$ ).


## Why 1-Octanol

- 1-octanol, due to the long alkyl chain and the polar hydroxyl ( OH ) group, carries a hydrophobic tail and a polar head. So, it forms a good example of cell membrane lipids.
- The OH group it carries has a receptor and donor property in the formation of hydrogen bonds and can interact with a wide variety of polar groups.
- It has low vapor pressure. This allows the measurements to be repeated.
- It has a broad range of UV transmittance and facilitates the quantitative determination of many compounds dissolved therein.


## Partition Coefficient (Log P) Calculation

## 1- Fragmentation Method and Theoretical Log P Calculation

- Includes the theoretical calculation of the hydrophobic constant (Log P) value of the molecule, taking advantage of the sum of the hydrophobic action values of various atomic and atomic groups (various fragments) calculated by Hanch et al.


## As the form of mathematical expression, the following symbols and expressions are used.

- $\mathrm{fb}=$ Single bond between fragments of straight chain
- $f b=$ single bond between fragments of ring
- fcbr = Branched chain
- fgbr = Branched group (used in case of polar fragments instead of H atoms in the structure)
- $f_{\phi}=$ A fragment attached to an aromatic ring
- $f_{\phi \phi}=$ A fragment attached to two aromatic rings


## Regulated Fragment Constants

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{\mathrm{H}}=0.225 \\
& f_{\mathrm{CH} 3}=0.89 \\
& \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{CH} 2}=\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{CH} 3}-\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{H}}=0.66 \\
& \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{CH}}=\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{CH} 2}-\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{H}}=0.43 \\
& \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{C}}=\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{CH}}-\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{H}}=0.20
\end{aligned}
$$

$f_{b}=-0.12$ single bond (between fragments of straight chain)
$f_{b}=-0.09$ single bond (between fragments of ring)
$f_{c b r}=-0.13$ (branched chain)
$f_{g b r}=-0.22$ (branched group)

| Fragment | $\mathbf{f}$ | $\mathbf{f}_{\phi}$ | $\mathbf{f}_{\phi \phi}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -Br | 0.20 | 1.09 |  |
| -Cl | 0.06 | 0.94 |  |
| -F | -0.38 | 0.37 |  |
| -I | 0.60 | 1.35 |  |
| $-{\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}}^{\mathrm{NO}_{2}}$ | -2.16 | -1.17 | -1.29 |
| $-\mathrm{O}-$ | -1.26 | -0.02 |  |
| $-\mathrm{S}-$ | -1.81 | -0.57 | 0.53 |
| $-\mathrm{NH}-$ | -0.79 | 0.03 | 0.77 |
| $-\mathrm{NH}_{2}-$ | -1.11 | -1.03 | -0.18 |
| -OH | -1.64 | -1.00 |  |
| -CN | -1.28 | -0.40 |  |
| $-\mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | -3.20 | -2.34 |  |
| $-\mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NH}$ | -2.71 | -1.81 | -2.09 |
| $-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | 1.90 |  | -1.06 |

## Examples -1:

- Isobutane

$3 f_{\mathrm{CH} 3}+1 \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{CH}}+2 \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{b}}+\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{cbr}}=3(0.89)+(0.43)+2(-0.12)+(-0.13)=2.86$ (found $\log P$ : 2.76)
- Cyclopropane

$3 f_{\mathrm{CH} 2}+2 f_{b}=3(0.66)+2(-0.09)=1.80$ (found $\log P$ : 1.72)

Note: $f_{b}$ is used for every single bond after the first $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond.

## Examples -2:

- 2-phenylethanol


$$
\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{C} 6 \mathrm{H} 5}+2 \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{CH} 2}+\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{OH}}+2 \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{b}}=(1.90)+2(0.66)+\quad(-1.64)+2(-0.12)=1.34
$$

(found $\log P: 1.36$ )

- (2-chloroethyl) benzene


$$
f_{\mathrm{C} 6 \mathrm{H} 5}+2 \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{CH} 2}+\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{Cl}}+2 \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{b}}=(1.90)+2(0.66)+(0.06)+2(-0.12)=3.04
$$

(found log P : 2.95)

## Examples -3:

- Isopropyl alcohol

$2 \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{CH} 3}+1 \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{CH}}+\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{OH}}+2 \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{b}}+\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{gbr}}=2(0.89)+(0.43)+(-1.64)+2(-0.12)+(-0.22)=0.11$
(found log P: 0.05)
- tert-Butylamine

$3 f_{\mathrm{CH} 3}+1 \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{C}}+\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{NH} 2}+3 \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{b}}+2 \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{gbr}}=3(0.89)+(0.20)+(-1.54)+3(-0.12)+2(-0.22)=0.53$ (found $\log P: 0.40$ )


## 2- LogP Calculation Using Computer Programs

- Using the ChemSketch drawing program of ACD (Advanced Chemistry Development) / Labs, molecules can be drawn and the Log $P$ values can be calculated from the hydrophobic parameters using Log $P$ mod.


> Distribution Coefficient
> Calculation on the Computer Using ChemDraw Ultra Program


| Chemical Proper | ties $\quad=\times$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\sqrt{\checkmark}$ Boiling Point: | 478,62 [K] |
| $\sqrt{\square}$ Melting Point: | 255,39 [K] |
| $\sqrt{\square}$ Critical Temp: | 679,08 [K] |
| $\checkmark$ Critical Pres: | 46,47 [ Bar ] |
| $\sqrt{\checkmark}$ Critical Vol: | $338,5[\mathrm{~cm} 3 / \mathrm{mol}]$ |
| $\sqrt{\checkmark}$ Gibbs Energy: | $-16,35[\mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}]$ |
| $\sqrt{\checkmark} \log \mathrm{P}$ : | 1,02 |
| $\checkmark$ MR: | 32,54 [cm3/mol] |
| $\sqrt{\nabla}$ Henrys Law: | 5.05 |
| $\sqrt{\nabla}$ Heat of Form: | -103,51 [kJ/mol] |
| $\checkmark$ tPSA: | 20.23 |
| $\checkmark$ CLogP: | 1.104 |
| $\checkmark \mathrm{CMR}$ : | 3.3055 |
| Paste | Report |

## 3-Calculation of Log P Value by Experimental Method

1-Octanol solution
(saturated with buffer solution)

## hazırlanır.

Buffer solution
(saturated with 1-octanol)


## Experimental Procedure:

- The compound to be determined by the distribution coefficient is weighed to about 10 mg and is completed 50 ml with 1-octanol. A çözeltisi
- 10 ml of this solution is taken and 10 ml of buffer solution is added. It is stirred for 1 hour in a water bath at 37 으 (body temperature).
- At the end of this period 1-octanol and water layers are separated.
- Take 1 ml of the octanol layer and complete 20 ml with 1-octanol. The absorbance value ( y 1 ) of the maximum wavelength of this solution in the UV spectrum taken between $190-400 \mathrm{~nm}$ is determined.


## Preparation of standard solutions and calibration curve

- 1 ml of solution (A) prepared at the beginning of the experiment is transferred to 3 volumetric flask.
- The volumetric flasks are completed 20, 30 and 40 ml separately with 1-octanol.
- The absorbance values (y) of the standard solutions prepared are read in the maximum wavelength at 190-400 nm in the UV spectra.
- Two separate studies can be performed using the absorbance values obtained.


## 1-Regression analysis method

Prepared at various concentrations, standard solutions'
absorbance values


These solutions' concentration values

The $a$ and $b$ values found are substituted in the following equation.
 the standard

The concentration of compound remaining in the octanol layer is found

## 2-Graphical Method

$$
\log P=\log \frac{\text { Amount, passed into octanol }}{\text { Amount, passed into water }}
$$



Concentration values of standard solution

## Example:

5 mg of aspirin was dissolved in 50 ml of 1-octanol, from which 25 ml was taken and mixed with an equal volume of buffer solution for one hour at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a erlenmeyer with stopper. At the end of the period, 1 ml of 1 octanol layer was taken and was completed 10 ml in volumetric flask and the absorbance value was 0.4320 in UV. Calculate the Log P value of the aspirin.
( $M w=180, a=9723.57, b=-0.07243$ )

## Solution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
y=a x+b \longrightarrow & 0,4320=9723,57 x+(-0,07243) \\
& x=5,188.10^{-5} \text { (the amount remaining in octanol) } \\
& 1 / 10 \text { diluted concentration }
\end{aligned}
$$

$5,188 \cdot 10^{-5} \times 10=5,188 \cdot 10^{-4}$ (actual concentration remaining in octanol)
$M=\frac{n}{V}=\frac{M / M A}{V}=\frac{5 * 10^{-3} / 180}{50 * 10^{-3}}=5,55 * 10^{-4}$ (starting amount)
$5,56 \cdot 10^{-4}-5,188 \cdot 10^{-4}=0,372 \cdot 10^{-4}$ (Amount, passed into water)

$$
\log P=\log \frac{\text { Amount, passed into octanol }}{\text { Amount, passed into water }}=\log \frac{5,188 \cdot 10^{-4}}{0,372 \cdot 10^{-4}}=1,14
$$

## Calculation of $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{M}}$

- They are used to roughly predict the lipophilic properties of the compounds.
- In the $R_{M}$ assay using the thin layer chromatography (TLC) method it is believed that 1-octanol-saturated plates represent the lipid phase in the organism.
- $R_{M}$ value is calculated from Rf values.

$$
R_{M}=\log (1 / R f-1)
$$

## Structure-Activity Relationships (QSAR) Analysis

- In the 1960s, two separate quantitative structure activity relationship analysis methods were developed.
- They were developed by Hansch and Fujita, Free and Wilson.
- Quantitative structure-activity relationships (QSAR) are the mathematical methods for describing the relationships between molecular properties of chemical compounds (structural / physicochemical properties) and biological activities.


## Hansch Analysis Method

- Hansch developed the following formula, expressing that the observed biological effects of the compounds in a homologous series in the method of analysis are a function of the physicochemical properties of these compounds.

biological effect $=\mathbf{f}$ (hydrophobic) $+\mathbf{f}$ (electronic) $+\mathbf{f}$ (steric) $+\mathbf{c}$ (constant)

Log 1 / C = Logarithmic biological effect $\dagger$

Independent variables of physicochemical parameters


The constant (correlation constant) indicating the contribution of the unexplained residue to the biological activity

The constants (regression coefficients) that define (+) or (-) contribution of physicochemical properties to biological activity

- Regression processing: Correlates the relationship between dependent $Y$ variables (biological activity) and independent $X$ variables (physicochemical parameters) with the least squares method, yielding the most appropriate model in the statistical direction and allowing the QSAR analysis to be resolved.
- Objective: To determine the correlation equation that quantitative structure-effect relationships provides adequately and the best solution.
- Correlation Coefficient ( $\mathbf{R}$ or $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ ): Provides statistical information on which model is compatible and valid. The less the difference between the observed and the calculated biological effect values of the analyzed compounds, the closer the $R$ is to 1 .
- $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ : Indicates the percentage of this harmony identified.
- Standard deviation or error: Indicates whether the model in which the correlation equation emerges corresponds to the statistically. As this value approaches zero, the value of $R$ increases.
- Fisher Test: Indicates to what degree the model is statistically valid. Statistically the model is considered valid and reliable if $p>95 \%$ contains a value above the table probability limits.


## QSAR Application

A series of 2,5-disubstituted benzimidazole, benzoxazole and 2substituted oxazolo (4,5-b) pyridine derivatives have been synthesized and tested in vitro against K. pneumoniae. The quantitative structure-effect relationships (QSAR) of the compounds are explained by applying the Hansch analysis method using the obtained activity results.

$\mathrm{I}=\mathrm{X}: \mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{Y}: \mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{Z}: \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ or
II = X: O, Y: CH, Z: $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ or
$I I I=X: O, Y: N, \quad Z:-$

Tablo 4.3. 2,5-Disübstitüe benzimidazol, benzoksazol ve 2 -sübstitüe oksazolo (4,5-b) piridin türevleri ve eşitlik l'de kullanılan parametreler



| 23 | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | F | O | CH | - | 1 | 0.02 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.25 | 4.6296 | 4.6351 | -0.0055 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24 | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | O | CH | - | 1 | 0.02 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.25 | 4.6313 | 4.6351 | -0.0038 |
| 25 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | O | CH | - | 0 | -0.04 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12.5 | 4.2519 | 4.2636 | -0.0117 |
| 26 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | O | CH | - | 0 | -0.04 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12.5 | 4.2783 | 4.2636 | 0.0147 |
| 27 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | O | CH | - | 0 | -0.04 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12.5 | 4.2819 | 4.2636 | 0.0183 |
| 28 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | F | O | CH | - | 0 | -0.04 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12.5 | 4.2595 | 4.2636 | -0.0041 |
| 29 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{NHCOCH}_{3}$ | O | CH | - | 0 | -0.04 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12.5 | 4.2874 | 4.2636 | 0.0238 |
| 30 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{NHCH}_{3}$ | O | CH | - | 0 | -0.04 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12.5 | 4.2801 | 4.2636 | 0.0165 |
| 31 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | O | CH | - | 0 | -0.04 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12.5 | 4.3050 | 4.2636 | 0.0414 |
| 32 | H | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | O | N | - | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6.25 | 4.5298 | 4.5763 | -0.0465 |
| 33 | H | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | O | N | - | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6.25 | 4.5584 | 4.5763 | -0.0179 |
| 34 | H | $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}$ | O | N | - | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6.25 | 4.6090 | 4.5763 | 0.0327 |
| 35 | H | $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | O | N | - | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6.25 | 4.5622 | 4.5763 | -0.0141 |
| 36 | H | $\mathrm{OC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | O | N | - | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6.25 | 4.5883 | 4.5763 | 0.0120 |
| 37 | H | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | O | N | - | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6.25 | 4.5319 | 4.5763 | -0.0444 |
| 38 | H | $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | O | N | - | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6.25 | 4.5763 | 4.5763 | 0.0137 |
| 39 | H | Cl | O | N | - | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6.25 | 4.5703 | 4.5763 | -0.0060 |
| 40 | H | Br | O | N | - | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6.25 | 4.6471 | 4.5763 | 0.0708 |
| 41 | H | H | O | CH | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6.25 | 4.5282 | 4.5918 | -0.0636 |
| 42 | H | $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | O | CH | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6.25 | 4.5865 | 4.5918 | -0.0053 |
| 43 | H | Br | O | CH | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6.25 | 4.6672 | 4.5918 | 0.0754 |
| 44 | H | Cl | O | CH | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6.25 | 4.5945 | 4.5918 | 0.0027 |
| 45 | H | $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | O | CH | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6.25 | 4.6129 | 4.5918 | 0.0211 |
| 46 | $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | H | O | CH | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 1 | 0.67 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6.25 | 4.6130 | 4.6725 | -0.0595 |
| 47 | $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | O | CH | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 1 | 0.67 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6.25 | 4.6610 | 4.6725 | -0.0115 |
| 48 | $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | Br | O | CH | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 1 | 0.67 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6.25 | 4.7300 | 4.6725 | 0.0055 |
| 49 | $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | Cl | O | CH | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 1 | 0.67 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6.25 | 4.6680 | 4.6725 | -0.0045 |
| 50 | $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | O | CH | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 1 | 0.67 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6.25 | 4.6840 | 4.6725 | 0.0115 |
| 51 | $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | NH | CH | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 1 | 0.67 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 12.5 | 4.373 | 4.3641 | 0.0132 |
| 52 | $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | NH | CH | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 1 | 0.67 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 12.5 | 4.3549 | 4.3641 | -0.0092 |
| 53 | $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{OC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | NH | CH | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 1 | 0.67 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 12.5 | 4.3159 | 4.3641 | 0.0118 |
| 54 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | NH | CH | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 0 | -0.04 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 12.5 | 4.2760 | 4.3023 | -0.0263 |


| 55 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | NH | CH | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 0 | -0.04 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 12.5 | 4.3045 | 4.3023 | 0.0022 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 56 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{OC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | NH | CH | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 0 | -0.04 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 12.5 | 4.2869 | 4.3023 | -0.0154 |
| 57 | H | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | NH | CH | - | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 3.9201 | 3.9361 |  |
| 58 | H | $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | NH | CH | - | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 3.9523 | 3.9361 |  |
| 59 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | NH | CH | - | 0 | -0.04 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 3.9786 | 3.9551 | 0.0160 |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}} \log 1 / \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Eşitlik 4.1. kullanılarak

| Eşitlik no. | Eşitlik 4.1. | n | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | s | F |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | $\log 1 / \mathrm{C}=0.196(+-0.058) \mathrm{H}_{\text {ACCEPRT, } \mathrm{R}}+4.315$ | 59 | 0.17 | 0.21 | 11 |  |
| 5 | $\log 1 / \mathrm{C}=0.274(+-0.051) \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{ACCEPRT}, \mathrm{R}}+0.340(+-0.067) \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{Y}}+4.237$ | 59 | 0.43 | 0.18 | 21 |  |
| 6 7 | $\begin{aligned} & \log 1 / \mathrm{C}=0.245(+-0.043) \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{ACCEPRT}, \mathrm{R}}+0.398(+-0.058) \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{Y}} \\ & +0.219(+-0.046) \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{Z}}+4.178 \end{aligned}$ | 59 | 0.60 | 0.15 | 27 |  |
| 8 | $\begin{aligned} & \log 1 / \mathrm{C}=0.415(+-0.042) \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{ACCEPRT}, \mathrm{R}}+0.371(+-0.044) \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{Y}}+ \\ & 0.252(+-0.035) \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{Z}}-0.443(+-0.068) \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{R}}+4.205 \end{aligned}$ | 59 | 0.77 | 0.11 | 46 |  |
| 9 | $\begin{aligned} & \log 1 / \mathrm{C}=0.400(+-0.015) \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{ACCEPRT}, \mathrm{R}}+0.332(+-0.015) \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{Y}}+ \\ & 0.347(+-0.013) \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{Z}}-0.477(+-0.024) \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{R}}-0.308(+-0.015) \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{X}}+ \\ & 4.245 \end{aligned}$ | 59 | 0.97 | 0.04 | 393 | $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ |

- Log $\left.1 / \mathrm{C}=0,400( \pm 0,015) \mathrm{H}_{\text {ACCEPT, }, \mathrm{R}}+0,332( \pm 0,015)\right)_{Y}+0,347$ $( \pm 0,013))_{Z}-0,477( \pm 0,024) F_{R}-0,\left.308( \pm 0,015)\right|_{X}+4,245$
- The most appropriate correlation (linear relationship) was obtained with the equations, $\mathrm{R}^{2}=97 \%$ and $\mathrm{s}=0.004$.


## When the equality is examined,

$\log 1 / C=0,400( \pm 0,015) H_{A C C E P T, R}+0,332( \pm 0,015) I_{Y}+0,347( \pm 0,013) \|_{Z}-0,477( \pm 0,024) F_{R}$
$-0,308( \pm 0,015) \|_{x}+4,245$

- The R group is important for biological activity,
- The substituents in the hydrogen trapping group ( $\mathrm{H}_{\text {ACcEPT }}$ ) in the $R$ group increase the activity,
- The presence of substituents having the negative field effect $\left(F_{R}\right)$ of the $R$ groups increases activity,
- IX, IY, IZ are also determinants for activity,
- IX, NH reduces activity, O increases activity,
- IY, N increases activity,
- IZ methylene group is important, it increases activity.
- There is no obvious statistical effect of group R1.


## Result

- The 2-benzyl oxazolo (4,5-b) pyridine derivatives which have a negative field effect at $R$ are more effective.
- This work will lead to the synthesis of compounds which we have found to be more effective.


