# MANAGERIAL ECONOMIC CHAPTER 7 <br> Production Economics 

## Production Economics

## Chapter 7

- Managers must decide not only what to produce for the market, but also how to produce it in the most efficient or least cost manner.
- Economics offers a widely accepted tool for judging whether or not the production choices are least cost.
- A production function relates the most that can be produced from a given set of inputs. This allows the manager to measure the marginal product of each input.


## 1. Production Economics:

 In the Short Run- Short Run Production Functions:
- Max output, from an set of inputs $\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{X} 1, \mathrm{X} 2, \mathrm{X} 3, \mathrm{X} 4, \ldots$ )

FIXED IN SR VARIABLE IN SR

$Q=f(K, L)$ for two input case, where $K$ as

- Average Product $=$ Q/L
- output per labor
$\square$ Marginal Product $=\partial \mathrm{Q} / \partial \mathrm{L}=\mathrm{dQ} / \mathrm{dL}$
- output attributable to last unit of labor applied
- Similar to profit functions, the Peak of MP occurs before the Peak of average product
- When MP = AP, we're at the peak of the AP curve


## Production Elasticities

$\square$ The production elasticity for any input, $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{X}}=\mathrm{MP}_{\mathrm{X}} /$ $\mathrm{AP}_{\mathrm{X}}=(\Delta \mathrm{Q} / \Delta \mathrm{X}) /(\mathrm{Q} / \mathrm{X})=(\Delta \mathrm{Q} / \Delta \mathrm{X}) \cdot(\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{Q})$, which is identical in form to other elasticities.

- When $\mathrm{MP}_{\mathrm{L}}>\mathrm{AP}_{\mathrm{L}}$, then the labor elasticity, $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{L}}>1$. A 1 percent increase in labor will increase output by more than 1 percent.
(a) When $\mathrm{MP}_{\mathrm{L}}<\mathrm{AP}_{\mathrm{L}}$, then the labor elasticity, $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{L}}<1$. A 1 percent increase in labor will increase output by less than 1 percent.


## Short Run Production Function Numerical Example

| L | Q | MP | AP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | - | - |
| 1 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| 2 | 46 | 26 | 23 |
| 3 | 70 | 24 | 23.33 |
| 4 | 92 | 22 | 23 |
| 5 | 110 | 18 | 22 |

Labor Elasticity is greater then one, for labor use up through $\mathrm{L}=3$ units

Marginal Product


## - When MP > AP, then AP is RISING

- IF YOUR MARGINAL GRADE IN THIS CLASS IS HIGHER THAN YOUR AVERAGE GRADE POINT AVERAGE, THEN YOUR G.P.A. IS RISING
- When MP < A then AP is FALLING
- IF THE MARGINAL WEIGHT ADDED TO A TEAM IS LESS THAN THE AVERAGE WEIGHT, THEN AVERAGE TEAM WEIGHT DECLINES
- When MP $=\mathrm{AP}$, then AP is
- IF THE NEW HIRE IS JUST AS EFFICIENT AS THE AVERAGE EMPLOYEE, THEN AVERAGE PRODUCTIVITY DOESN'T CHANGE


## Law of Diminishing Returns

INCREASES IN ONE FACTOR OF PRODUCTION, HOLDING ONE OR OTHER FACTORS FIXED, AFTER SOME POINT,

RGINAL PRODUCT DIMINISHES.


A SHORT
RUN LAW
MP
point of
diminishing returns

Variable input

## Three stages of production

- Stage 1: average product rising.
- Stage 2: average product declining (but marginal product positive).
- Stage 3: marginal product is negative, or total product is declining.

Total Output


L

## Optimal Employment of a Factor

## - HIRE, IF GET <br> MORE REVENUE <br> THAN COST

- HIRE if
$\triangle T R / \Delta L>\triangle T C / \triangle L \quad$ wage
- HIRE if
$\mathrm{MRP}_{\mathrm{L}}>\mathrm{MFC}_{\mathrm{L}}$
- AT OPTIMUM,
$\mathrm{MRP}_{\mathrm{L}}=\mathrm{W}$


Antara Univerist Facally o P Politeal optimal labor

## MRP ${ }_{\text {L }}$ is the Demand for Labor

- If Labor is MORE productive, demand for labor increases
- If Labor is LESS productive, demand for labor decreases
- Suppose an EARTHQUAKE destroys capital $\longrightarrow$

- $\mathrm{MP}_{\mathrm{L}}$ declines with less capital, wages and labor are HURT



## 2. Long Run Production

## Functions

- All inputs are varíable
- greatest output from any set of inputs
$\square Q=f(K, L)$ is two input example
- MP of capital and MP of labor are the derivatives of the production function

$$
\mathrm{MP}_{\mathrm{L}}=\partial \mathrm{Q} / \partial \mathrm{L}=\Delta \mathrm{Q} / \Delta \mathrm{L}
$$

$\square$ MP of labor declines as more labor is applied. Also MP of capital declines as more capital is applied.

## Homogeneous Functions of Degree n

- A function is homogeneous of degree-n - if multiplying all inputs by $\lambda$, increases the dependent variable by $\lambda^{n}$
- $\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{L})$

$$
\text { So, } f(\lambda K, \lambda L)=\lambda^{n} \cdot Q
$$

$\square$ Homogenous of degree 1 is CRS.

- Cobb-Douglas Production Functions are homogeneous of degree $\alpha$
$+\beta=$ 4/4/2018


## Cobb-Douglas Production Functions: <br> $\square \quad \mathrm{A} \circ \mathrm{K}^{\alpha} \circ \mathrm{L}^{\beta}$ is a Cobb-

Douglas Production Function

- IMPLIES:
- Can be IRS, DRS or CRS: if $\alpha+\beta=1$, then CRS if $\alpha+\beta<1$, then DRS
if $\alpha+\beta>1$, then IRS
$\square$ Coefficients are elasticities
$\alpha$ is the capital elasticity of output
$\beta$ is the labor elasticity of output,
which are $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{K}}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{L}}$


## Problem

## Suppose: $\mathrm{Q}=1.4 \mathrm{~L}^{.70} \mathrm{~K} .35$

- Is the function homogeneous?
- Is the production function constant returns to scale?
- What is the labor elasticity of output?
- What is the capital elasticity of output?
- What happens to Q, if L increases 3\% and capital is cut $10 \%$ ?


## Answers

- Increases in all inputs by $\lambda$, increase output by $\lambda^{1.05}$
- Increasing Returns to Scale
- . 70
- .35
- $\% \Delta \mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{QL}} \cdot \% \Delta \mathrm{~L}+\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{QK}} \cdot \% \Delta \mathrm{~K}=.7(+3 \%)+$ $.35(-10 \%)=2.1 \%-3.5 \%=\quad-1.4 \%$


## Isoquants \& LR Production

## Functions

- In the LONG RUN, ALL factors are variable
- $Q=f(K, L)$
- ISOQUANTS -- locus of input combinations which produces the same output
- SLOPE of ISOQUANT is ratio of Marginal Products

ISOQUANT


## Optimal Input Combinations in the Long Run

- The Objective is to Minimize Cost for a given Output
- ISOCOST lines are the combination of inputs for a given cost
ㅁ $\mathrm{C}_{0}=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{X}} \cdot \mathrm{X}+\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Y}} \cdot \mathrm{Y}$
- $\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{C}_{0} / \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Y}}$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{X}} / \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Y}}\right) \cdot \mathrm{X}$
- Equimarginal Criterion Produce where

MPvC where marginal products per dollar are equal at E, slope of isocost = slope
E of isoquant

## Use of the Efficiency Criterion

$\square$ Is the following firm EFFICIENT?

- Suppose that:
- $\mathrm{MP}_{\mathrm{L}}=30$
- $\mathrm{MP}_{\mathrm{K}}=50$
$\mathrm{W}=10$ (cost of labor)
$\mathrm{R}=25$ (cost of
capital)
- A dollar spent on labor produces 3, and a dollar spent on capital produces 2.
- USE

LABOR

- If spend $\$ 1$ less in capital, output falls 2 units, but rises 3 units when spent on labor
$\square$ -


## What Went Wrong With Large-Scale Electrical Generating

- Large electrical plants had cost advantages in the 1970s and 1980s because of economies of scale
- Competition and purchased power led to an era of deregulation
- Less capital-intensive generating plants appear now to be cheapest


## Economies of

 Scale- CONSTANT RETURNS TO SCALE (CRS)
- doubling of all inputs doubles output

INCREASING RETURNS TO SCALE (IRS)
doubling of all inputs MORE than doubles output

- DECREASING RETURNS TO SCALE (DRS)
doubling of all inputs DOESN'T QUITE double output


## REASONS FOR <br> Increasing Returns to Scale

- Specialization in the use of capital and labor. Labor becomes more skilled at tasks, or the equipment is more specialized, less "a jack of all trades," as scale increases.
- Other advantages include: avoid inherent lumpiness in the size of equipment, quantity discounts, technical efficiencies in building larger volume equipment.


## DECREASING RETURNS TO SCALE

$\square$ Problems of coordination and control as it is hard to send and receive information as the scale rises.

- Other disadvantages of large size:
- slow decision ladder
- inflexibility
capacity limitations on entrepreneurial skills (there are diminishing returns to the C.E.O. which cannot be completely delegated).


## Economies of Scope

- FOR MULTI-PRODUCT FIRMS, COMPLEMENTARY IN PRODUCTION MAY CREATE SYNERGIES
- especially common in Vertical Integration of firms
- $\operatorname{TC}\left(\mathrm{Q}_{1}+\mathrm{Q}_{2}\right)<\mathrm{TC}\left(\mathrm{Q}_{1}\right)+\mathrm{TC}\left(\mathrm{Q}_{2}\right)$



## Statistical Estimation of LR Production Functions

Choice of data sets

- cross section
- output and input measures from a group of firms
- output and input measures from a group of plants
- time series
- output and input data for a firm over time


## Estimation Complexities

Industries vary -- hence, the appropriate variables for estimation are industry-specific

- single product firms vs. multi-product firms
- multi-plant firms
- services $v$ s. manufacturing measurable output (goods) vs non-measurable output (customer satisfaction)


## Choice of Functional Form

ㅁ $\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{a} \cdot \mathrm{K}+\mathrm{b} \cdot \mathrm{L}$

- is CRS
- marginal product of labor is constant, $\mathrm{MP}_{\mathrm{L}}=\mathrm{b}$
- can produce with zero labor or zero capital
- isoquants are straight lines -- perfect substitutes in production



## - Multiplicative -- Cobb Douglas

 Production Function$$
\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{A} \cdot \mathrm{~K}^{\alpha} \cdot \mathrm{L}^{\beta}
$$

- IMPLIES
- Can be CRS, IRS, or DRS
$-\mathrm{MP}_{\mathrm{L}}=\beta \cdot \mathrm{Q} / \mathrm{L}$
- $\mathrm{MP}_{\mathrm{K}}=\alpha \cdot \mathrm{Q} / \mathrm{K}$
- Cannot produce with zero L or zero K Log linear -- double log

$$
\operatorname{Ln} \mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{a}+\alpha \cdot \operatorname{Ln} \mathrm{K}+\beta \cdot \operatorname{Ln} \mathrm{L}
$$

coefficients are elasticities

## CASE: Wilson Company

 pages 315-316- Data on 15 plants that produce fertilizer
- what sort of data set is this?
what functional form should we try?
- Determine if IRS, DRS, or CRS
- Test if coefficients are statistically significant
- Determine labor and capital production elasticities and give an economic interpretation of each value

|  | Output | Capital | Labor Ln-Output Ln-Cap Ln-labor |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 605.3 | 18891 | 700.2 | 6.40572 | 9.8464 | 6.55137 |
| 2 | 566.1 | 19201 | 651.8 | 6.33877 | 9.8627 | 6.47974 |
| 3 | 647.1 | 20655 | 822.9 | 6.47250 | 9.9357 | 6.71283 |
| 4 | 523.7 | 15082 | 650.3 | 6.26092 | 9.6213 | 6.47743 |
| 5 | 712.3 | 20300 | 859.0 | 6.56850 | 9.9184 | 6.75577 |
| 6 | 487.5 | 16079 | 613.0 | 6.18929 | 9.6853 | 6.41837 |
| 7 | 761.6 | 24194 | 851.3 | 6.63542 | 10.0939 | 6.74676 |
| 8 | 442.5 | 11504 | 655.4 | 6.09244 | 9.3505 | 6.48525 |
| 9 | 821.1 | 25970 | 900.6 | 6.71064 | 10.1647 | 6.80306 |
| 10 | 397.8 | 10127 | 550.4 | 5.98595 | 9.2230 | 6.31065 |
| 11 | 896.7 | 25622 | 842.2 | 6.79872 | 10.1512 | 6.73602 |
| 12 | 359.3 | 12477 | 540.5 | 5.88416 | 9.4316 | 6.29249 |
| 13 | 979.1 | 24002 | 949.4 | 6.88663 | 10.0859 | 6.85583 |
| 14 | 331.7 | 8042 | 575.7 | 5.80423 | 8.9924 | 6.35559 |
| 15 | 1064.9 | 23972 | 925.8 | 6.97064 | 10.0846 | 6.83066 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Data Set: |  |  |  |  | 15 | plants |

The linear regression equation is
Output $=-351+$ 0.0127 Capital +1.02 Labor

| Predictor | Coef | Stdev | t-ratio | $\mathbf{p}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Constant | -350.5 | 123.0 | -2.85 | 0.015 |
| Capital | .012725 | .007646 | 1.66 | 0.122 |
| Labor | 1.0227 | 0.3134 | 3.26 | 0.007 |
| $\mathrm{~s}=73.63$ | R-sq $=\mathbf{9 1 . 1 \%}$ | R-sq(adj) $=\mathbf{8 9 . 6 \%}$ |  |  |

The double-linear regression equation is
LnOutput $=-4.75+0.415$ Ln-Capital + 1.08 Ln-Labor

| Predictor | Coeff | Stdev | t-ratio | p |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| Constant | -4.7547 | 0.8058 | -5.90 | 0.000 |
| Ln-Capital | 0.4152 | 0.1345 | 3.09 | 0.009 |
| Ln-Labor | 1.0780 | 0.2493 | 4.32 | 0.001 |
| $\mathrm{~s}=0.08966$ | R-sq $=94.8 \%$ | R-sq(adj) $=94.0 \%$ |  |  |

## Which form fits better--linear or double log?

## Are the coefficients significar

What is the labor and capita

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { More } \\
\text { Problems } \\
\text { Suppose the following } \\
\text { production function is } \\
\text { estimated to be: } \\
\text { In } \mathrm{Q}=2.33+.19 \ln \mathrm{~K}+.87 \ln \mathrm{~L} \\
\mathrm{R}^{2}=.97
\end{gathered}
$$

## Q U ESTIONS:

 Is thisconstant returns to scale?

If L increases
$2 \%$ what happens to output?

What's the MP
at $\mathrm{L}=50, \mathrm{~K}=$
100, \& $Q=741$

## Answers

1.) Take the sum of the coefficients $.19+.87=1.06$, which shows that this production function is Increasing Returns to Scale
2.) Use the Labor Elasticity of Output $\% \Delta Q=E_{L} \cdot \% \Delta L$ $\% \Delta Q=(.87) \cdot(+2 \%)=+1.74 \%$
3). $\mathrm{MP}_{\mathrm{L}}=\mathrm{b} \mathrm{Q} / \mathrm{L}=.87 \cdot(741 / 50)=12.893$

## Electrical Generating Capacity

- A cross section of 20 electrical utilities (standard errors in parentheses):
■ Ln Q = -1.54 + . $53 \mathrm{Ln} \mathrm{K}+.65 \mathrm{Ln} \mathrm{L}$ (.65) (.12) (.14) $\quad \mathrm{R}^{2}=.966$
$\square$ Does this appear to be constant returns to scale?
- If increase labor $10 \%$, what happens to electrical output?


## Answers

- No, constant returns to scale. Of course, its increasing returns to scale as sum of coefficients exceeds one.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \square .53+.65=1.18 \\
& \text { If } \% \Delta \mathrm{~L}=10 \% \text {, then } \% \Delta \mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{L}} \\
& \% \Delta \mathrm{~L}=.65(10 \%)=6.5 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

## Lagrangians and Output Maximization:

## Appendix 7A

- Max output to a cost objective. Let r be the cost of capital and w the cost of labor
- $\operatorname{Max} \mathbb{L}=\mathrm{A} \bullet \mathrm{K} \alpha \bullet \mathrm{L} \beta-\lambda\{\mathrm{w} \bullet \mathrm{L}+\mathrm{r} \bullet \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{C}\}$

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\mathbb{L}_{\mathrm{K}}: \alpha \cdot \mathrm{A} \cdot \mathrm{~K}^{\alpha-1} \cdot \mathrm{~L}^{\beta}-\mathrm{r} \cdot \lambda=0 \\
\mathbb{L}_{\mathrm{L}}: \beta \cdot \mathrm{A} \cdot \mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~K}^{\alpha} \cdot \mathrm{L}^{\beta-1}-\mathrm{w} \cdot \mathrm{~L}-\mathrm{r} \cdot \mathrm{~K}=\mathbf{0}=0
\end{array}\right\} \begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{MP}_{\mathrm{K}}=\mathbf{r} \\
& \mathrm{MP}_{\mathrm{L}}=\mathrm{w}-
\end{aligned}
$$

- Solution $\alpha \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{K} / \beta \mathrm{Q} / \mathrm{L}=\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{r}$

ㅁ or


## Production and Linear Programming: Appendix 7B

- Manufacturers have alternative production processes, some involving mostly labor, others using machinery more intensively.
- The objective is to maximize output from these production processes, given constrain on the inputs available, such as plant capacity or union labor contract constraints.
- The linear programming techniques are discussed in Web Chapter B.

