

## Week 2

### Biological characteristics of aquaculture species

A major characteristic that determines the suitability of a species for aquaculture is the rate of growth and production under culture conditions.

Although certain slow-growing species may be candidates for culture because of their market value, it is often difficult to make their culture economical. Growth rates of many species can be improved

through the use of heated water, but commercial grow-out using such methods has not yet proved very successful. In principle, a faster growth rate, as obtained in many tropical species, allows them to grow to marketable size in a shorter time, making it possible to have more frequent harvests.

**The size and age at first maturity is also an important consideration, as it will be preferable to have them reach marketable**

**size before they attain first maturity so that most of the feed and energy are used for somatic growth.**

Early maturity would ensure easier availability of breeders for hatchery operations, but early maturity before the species reaches marketable size will also be a great handicap, as in the case of tilapia species.

It is certainly preferable to culture a species that can be bred easily under captive conditions.

This permits hatchery production of seed in adequate quantities. If it is a species that matures more than once a year, it should be possible to have several crops of seed and possible adults, if other conditions are suitable.

High fecundity can be an advantage, as can frequency of spawning; however, small-sized eggs

and small larvae make hatching operations more difficult. A shorter incubation period and larval cycle often contribute to lower mortality of larvae and greater survival in hatcheries.

Larvae that would accept artificial feeds would be easier to rear in hatcheries. The raising of live foods is comparatively more difficult and often expensive.

In cases where controlled breeding techniques have not been perfected, the aquaculturist may have to depend on seed available from the wild. But as has been experienced in many situations, it proves to be an unreliable source in large-scale farming as their abundance in nature depends on a number of unpredictable factors. Further, large-scale collection of wild spawn and fry has given rise to conflicts with commercial fishermen, who ascribe the decline in catches of the species concerned to the removal of early stages, despite the lack of any scientific evidence. So, even from a public relations and biodiversity point of view, it is better to select species that can be propagated in hatcheries and to start hatchery production as early as possible.

In modern aquaculture, feeding is one of the major elements of production cost and may amount to 50 per cent or more (generally 60).

In most traditional aquaculture practices, herbivorous or omnivorous species have been preferred as they feed on natural food organisms in water, the growth of which can be enhanced through fertilization and water management. In such cases, the cost of feeding will be relatively low and, because of this, species low in the food chain are preferable

for the production of low-priced products. However, even with such species, supplementary feeding with artificial feedstuffs has to be adopted in intensive culture systems. The feed efficiency in relation to growth and productivity then becomes an important criterion. Some of the low trophic level feeders can also be highly selective in their feeding habits, as in the case of filter-feeders that require plankton of a particular size and shape. The need to grow the species to market size within a limited season or period often makes it necessary to resort to artificial feeding. Further, with improved feed conversion efficiency, manipulable through N and P reduction in artificial feeding, a reduction in nutrient loading can be achieved, thus leading to more eco-friendly and sustainable culture systems, as shown for example in salmon (Makinen, 1991; Bergheim, 2000) and carp (Jhan et al., 2001). Carnivorous species generally need a high-protein diet and are therefore considered to be more expensive to produce, even though the costs will depend largely on local availability and price of the required feedstuffs. To compensate for feeding costs, most carnivorous species command higher market prices. Such species generally have greater export markets and therefore attract substantial investments.

Species that are hardy and can tolerate unfavourable conditions will have the advantage of better survival in relatively poor environmental conditions that may occur occasionally in culture situations. The temperature and oxygen concentration can fluctuate in ponds and other enclosures and deterioration of the water quality may unavoidably occur. In such situations, hardier species will obviously fare better. Besides the possible effects of poor water quality on the candidate species, it is also necessary to consider the influence of the species on the environment. Soil erosion that may be caused by the feeding habits of carp has been referred to in Section 2.1. Species that easily escape into natural bodies of water and upset their ecology would need special protective measures, leading to higher costs and environmental concern.

In intensive and semi-intensive culture, dense populations are confined in a limited space. In such cases, behaviour patterns of species in confinement are of special significance. Increases in transmission of disease, cannibalism in the early stages and accumulation of waste products are related to overcrowding. Species that have better resistance to such unfavourable conditions are better candidates for culture.

### **Economic and market considerations**

To an aquaculturist economic considerations are as important as or even more important than biological factors in the selection of

species to be cultured.

When discussing national priorities and investment requirements. The availability of proven technologies of culture, backed by economic viability, should guide an investor or an aquaculturist in the selection of a species or a culture system. Despite the scarcity of this type of information and the variability of economic returns of enterprises, it is of such crucial importance that even incomplete information from actual commercial or pilot operations would be useful in validating available experimental results.

Consumer acceptance and availability of markets for the species are very intimately interlinked with the economics of raising them. There are several instances where culture techniques were in existence for many years but never resulted in any large-scale production until new or improved markets developed, whether for domestic consumption or for export. Markets can, of course, be developed in places where none existed for a species, but this would require very considerable time and effort. Public and/or private organizations will have to undertake very intensive promotional activities to achieve this in a reasonable period of time. The above considerations appear to be the main reasons for the widespread interest in introducing exotic species. The species concerned are generally those for which established culture technologies exist and the economics of production and marketability have been demonstrated.

### 5.3 Introduction of exotic species

The advantages of limiting the number of aquaculture species and the scarcity of really domesticated species for culture have been referred to at the beginning of this chapter. The economic and market considerations that create interest in the introduction of exotic species, have also been mentioned in the previous section. Considering the natural geographic ranges of distribution of proven species, there is a strong argument for the introduction and transplantation of exotic species where necessary. However, the problem very often is how to decide whether it is necessary and, if so, what procedures and precautions should be taken to prevent possible undesirable consequences. History reveals that several indiscriminate introductions and transplantations have been made in the past for establishing sport and commercial fisheries, for ornamental purposes and for biological control. Some of them have had detrimental effects on the local fauna and have contributed to the spread of communicable diseases. There is no gainsaying the need for preventing such consequences by following appropriate procedures and effective national regulations. However, expanding aquaculture

may find it very difficult to avoid the introduction or transplantation of species, or selected strains of local species, for experimentation or commercial production. Munro (1986) lists some of the aquaculture species that have already colonized outside their historical distributional range: tilapia species, cyprinids (common carp, Chinese carps), rainbow trout, walking catfish, Japanese and European oysters and fresh-water crayfish (*Pacifastacus* sp.). The majority of them have been introduced for valid reasons, but it is most doubtful whether any of these or other successful introductions have been preceded by detailed screening procedures. To this can be added the more recent introductions of several penaeid shrimps (especially *Penaeus monodon* and *P. (= Litopenaeus) vannamei*) and the giant freshwater prawn (*Macrobrachium rosenbergii*) of proven performance in various tropical and semi-tropical countries. Atlantic salmon, an exotic, has established itself so well in cage farming in Chile that the farmed production of the species in 2001 (501 000 tons) exceeds that of Norway.

**The criteria to be considered in introducing new species. The species should:**

- (a) fill a need, because of the absence of a similar desirable species in the locality of transplantation;**
- (b) not compete with valuable native species to the extent of contributing to their decline;**
- (c) not cross with native species and produce undesirable hybrids;**
- (d) not be accompanied by pests, parasites or diseases which might attack native species;**
- and**
- (e) live and reproduce in equilibrium with its new environment**

### **Common aquaculture species**

As mentioned at the beginning of this chapter, there are several species of finfish, shellfish and plants that are used in experimental or commercial aquaculture. Several new species including unconventional members are being recruited to aquaculture recently, as signified by the increasing list of producer species in annual production reports.

The list of farmed aquatic organisms presented here is based on species listings in FAO aquaculture production statistics. It should be noted that besides finfishes, crustaceans and molluscs the list includes amphibians (frogs) and reptiles (turtles, but not crocodiles) and a single ascidian, as given in the FAO report.

Family	Species	Common name (lead producer country) and world production in tons in 2000 <sup>1</sup>
<i>Finfish</i>		
Acipenseridae	<i>Acipenser sturio</i>	Sturgeon (Latvia)
	<i>Acipenser ruthenus</i>	Sterlet sturgeon (Uruguay) 70
	<i>Acipenser baeri</i>	Siberian sturgeon (France) 95
	<i>Huso huso</i>	Beluga (Ukraine)
Chanidae	<i>Chanos chanos</i>	Milkfish (Indonesia) 461 857
Salmonidae	<i>Salmo trutta</i>	Brown/Sea trout (Russian Fed) 6938
	<i>Salmo salar</i>	Atlantic salmon (Norway) 883 558
	<i>Salvelinus fontinalis</i>	Brook trout (France) 609
	<i>Salvelinus alpinus</i>	Arctic char (Iceland) 1093
	<i>Hucho hucho</i>	Huchan (Macedonia) 173
	<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>	Rainbow trout (Chile) 448 141
	<i>Oncorhynchus kisutch</i>	Coho (Silver) salmon (Chile) 108 626
	<i>Oncorhynchus keta</i>	Chum/Dog salmon (Russian Fed)
	<i>Oncorhynchus masou</i>	Masu (Cherry) salmon (Chile)
	<i>Oncorhynchus tshawytscha</i>	Chinook/King salmon (Canada) 16 664
	Thymallidae	<i>Thymallus thymallus</i>
Plecoglossidae	<i>Plecoglossus altivelis</i>	Ayu, Sweet fish (Japan) 9324
Coregonidae	<i>Coregonus lavaretus</i>	Common whitefish (Finland) 131
Esocidae	<i>Esox lucius</i>	Northern pike (France) 619
Osteoglossidae	<i>Heterotis niloticus</i>	African bonytongue (Ghana) 19
	<i>Arapaima gigas</i>	Arapaima (Peru)
Notopteridae	<i>Papyrocranus afer</i>	Knifefish (Nigeria) 281
Gymnarchidae	<i>Gymnarchus niloticus</i>	Aba (Nigeria) 1538

Family	Species	Common name (lead producer country) and world production in tons in 2000 <sup>1</sup>
Callichthyidae	<i>Hoplosternum littorale</i>	Atipa (Guyana) 75
Pangasidae	<i>Pangasius pangasius</i>	Catfish, pangas (Thailand) 6630
	<i>Pangasius hypophthalmus</i>	Striped catfish (Singapore)
Anguillidae	<i>Anguilla anguilla</i>	European eel (Netherlands) 10 690
	<i>Anguilla japonica</i>	Japanese eel (China) 220 043
	<i>Anguilla rostrata</i>	American eel (Dominican Rep.)
	<i>Anguilla australis</i>	Australian eel (Australia) 213
Muraenosocidae	<i>Muraenesox cinereus</i>	Wam eel/pike conger (Taiwan)
Gadidae	<i>Gadus morhua</i>	Atlantic cod (Norway) 167
Gastrosteidae	<i>Gastrosteus aculeatus</i>	Stickleback (Russian Fed.)
Mugilidae	<i>Mugil cephalus</i>	Flathead grey mullet (Egypt) 89 078
	<i>Liza vaigaiensis</i>	Squaretail mullet (Thailand) 50
	<i>Liza ramada</i>	Thinlip grey mullet (Tunisia)
Synbranchidae	<i>Monopterus albus</i>	Lai (Thailand) 19
Centropomidae	<i>Centropomus undecimalis</i>	Common snook (Mexico)
	<i>Lates calcarifer</i>	Asian seabass/barramundi (Thailand) 20 066
	<i>Lates niloticus</i>	Nile perch (Nigeria) 1367
Serranidae	<i>Epinephelus akaara</i>	Red/Hong Kong grouper (Hong Kong)
	<i>Epinephelus areolatus</i>	Areolate grouper (Hong Kong) 104
	<i>Epinephelus tauvina</i>	Estuarine/greasy grouper (Malaysia) 1636
	<i>Epinephelus coloides</i>	Orange spotted grouper (Kuwait) 6
	<i>Epinephelus malabaricus</i>	Grouper (Thailand)
	<i>Plectropomus maculatus</i>	Spotted coral grouper (Singapore)
	<i>Argyroperodon leucogrammicus</i>	Slender grouper (Thailand) 1250
	<i>Lateolabrax japonicus</i>	Japanese seabass (Korea Rep.)
	<i>Siniperca chuatsi</i>	Mandarin fish (China) 98 859
Terapontidae	<i>Bidyanus bidyanus</i>	Silver perch (Australia) 320
Moronidae	<i>Dicentrarchus labrax</i>	European seabass (Greece) 26 668
	<i>Morone chrysops</i> x <i>M. saxatilis</i>	Bass hybrid (USA) 5394
Percichthyidae	<i>Maccullochella peelii</i>	Murray cod (Australia)
	<i>Macquaria ambigua</i>	Golden perch (Australia)
Centrarchidae	<i>Lepomis macrochirus</i>	Bluegill (Puerto Rico)
	<i>Micropterus salmoides</i>	Largemouth black bass (Mexico) 136
Percidae	<i>Perca fluviatilis</i>	European perch (France) 133
	<i>Stizostedion lucioperca</i>	Pike-perch (France) 200
Pomatomidae	<i>Pomatomus saltatrix</i>	Bluefish (Tunisia)
Rachycentridae	<i>Rachycentron canadum</i>	Cobia (Taiwan) 2626
Carangidae	<i>Seriola quinqueradiata</i>	Yellowtail/amber jack (Japan) 137 328
	<i>Seriola dumerili</i>	Greater amber jack (Spain)
	<i>Trachurus japonicus</i>	Japanese Jack mackerel (Japan) 3052
	<i>Trachynotus blochii</i>	Stubnose pompano (Taiwan) 32
Lutjanidae	<i>Lutjanus argentimaculatus</i>	Red snapper (Malaysia) 3158
	<i>Lutjanus russelli</i>	Russel's snapper (Hong Kong) 263
Sciaenidae	<i>Sciaenops ocellatus</i>	Red drum (Ecuador) 2115
	<i>Umbrina cirrosa</i>	Shi drum (Cyprus)
	<i>Argyrosomus regius</i>	Meagre (France) 33
Sparidae	<i>Pagrus pagrus</i>	Red porgy (Greece)
	<i>Pagrus auratus</i>	Silver seabream (Japan) 82 811

Family	Species	Common name (lead producer country) and world production in tons in 2000 <sup>1</sup>
Characidae	<i>Piaractus</i> (= <i>Colossoma</i> ) <i>brachypomus</i>	Pirapatinga (Columbia) 14 997
	<i>Piaractus mesopotamicus</i>	Paco (Argentina) 700
	<i>Colossoma macropomum</i>	Cachama (Brazil) 6589
Hepsetidae	<i>Brycon moorei</i>	Dorada (Colombia) 30
Hepsetidae	<i>Hepsetes odoe</i>	Kafua pike (Nigeria) 518
	<i>Ichthyoelephas humeralis</i>	Bocachico (Ecuador)
Curimatidae	<i>Prochilodus reticulatus</i>	Netted prochilod (Peru) 810
Cyprinidae	<i>Catla catla</i>	Catla (India) 653 440
	<i>Carassius auratus</i>	Goldfish (Romania) 1761
	<i>Carassius carassius</i>	Crucian carp (China) 1 375 378
	<i>Cirrhinus molitorella</i>	Mud carp (China) 200 102
	<i>Cirrhinus mrigala</i>	Mrigal (India) 573 294
	<i>Ctenopharyngodon idellus</i>	Grass carp (China) 3 447 474
	<i>Cyprinus carpio</i>	Common carp (China) 2 718 217
	<i>Hypophthalmichthys molitrix</i>	Silver carp (China) 3 473 051
	<i>Hypophthalmichthys nobilis</i>	Bighead carp (China) 1 636 623
	<i>Labeo rohita</i>	Rohu (India) 795 128
	<i>Lepobarbus hoeveni</i>	Hoven's carp (Malaysia) 915
	<i>Mylopharyngodon plicatus</i>	Black carp (China) 170 786
	<i>Notemigonus chrysoleucas</i>	Golden shiner (USA) 6330
	<i>Osteochilus hasselti</i>	Nilem (Indonesia) 12 780
	<i>Abramis brama</i>	Freshwater bream (Macedonia) 126
	<i>Parabramis pekinensis</i>	White amur bream (China) 511 730
	<i>Probarbus jullieni</i>	Isok barb (Thailand)
	<i>Pelecus cultratus</i>	Sichal (Ukraine)
	<i>Aspis aspicus</i>	Asp (Kazakstan)
	<i>Puntius gonionotus</i>	Tawes, Thai silver barb (Thailand) 50 693
	<i>Puntius javanicus</i>	Java barb (Indonesia) 31 967
	<i>Rutilus rutilus</i>	Roach (France) 2500
	<i>Scaerdinus erythrophthalmus</i>	Rudd (France) 321
	<i>Tinca tinca</i>	Tench (France) 1626
	<i>Alburnus alburnus</i>	Bleak (Macedonia) 110
	<i>Misgurnus anguillicaudatus</i>	Pond loach (Korea Rep.) 882
Siluridae	<i>Silurus glanis</i>	Wels catfish (France) 725
	<i>Silurus asotus</i>	Amur catfish (China/Taiwan) 2312
Bagridae	<i>Chysichthys nigrodigitatus</i>	Bagrid catfish (Nigeria) 96
	<i>Mystus nemurus</i>	Asian redtail catfish (Malaysia) 586
Ictaluridae	<i>Ictalurus punctatus</i>	Channel catfish (USA) 269 257
	<i>Ameiurus melas</i>	Black bullhead (Italy) 550
Claridae	<i>Clarias batrachus</i>	Catfish, Asian (Cambodia) 550
	<i>Clarias gariepinus</i> (= <i>lazera</i> )	African catfish (Netherlands) 3703
	<i>C. gariepinus</i> x <i>C. macrocephala</i>	Catfish hybrid (Thailand) 71 210
	<i>Clarias fuscus</i>	Hong Kong catfish (Hong Kong)
	<i>Clarias anguillaris</i>	Mudfish (Egypt) 654
	<i>Heterobranchius bidorsalis</i>	African catfish (Liberia)
	<i>Heterobranchius longifilis</i>	Sampa (Liberia)
Pimelodidae	<i>Rhamdia sapo</i>	S. American catfish (Uruguay)
	<i>Sorubim lima</i>	Duckbill catfish (Columbia) 10
	<i>Pseudoplatystoma fasciatum</i>	Sacred sorubim (Columbia) 20